Massive gravitational waves in Chern-Simons modified gravity

Taeyoon Moon* and Yun Soo Myung†,

Institute of Basic Sciences and Department of Computer Simulation, Inje University
Gimhae 621-749, Korea

arXiv:1403.5433v1 [gr-qc] 21 Mar 2014

Abstract

We find polarization modes of gravitational waves in nondynamical Chern-Simons (nCS) modified gravity by using the Newman-Penrose formalism where the null complex tetrad is necessary to specify gravitational waves. The nCS modified gravity is considered as a model of parity-odd massive gravity. The linearized Einstein equation corresponds to the first-order equation for the linearized Ricci tensor which could be used to eliminate the independent polarization modes propagating on the Minkowski spacetimes. The number of polarization modes is one for the nCS modified gravity in addition to an unspecified Ψ_4. However, if one introduces the Ricci tensor formalism to describe a massive graviton, one finds two modes after making second-order wave equations, which is compared to five found from the parity-even Einstein-Weyl gravity.

PACS numbers:

Typeset Using LaTeX

*e-mail address: tymoon@inje.ac.kr
†e-mail address: ysmyung@inje.ac.kr

1 Introduction

Topologically massive gravity (TMG) including a gravitational Chern–Simons term (gCS) is a typical three dimensional gravity theory [1, 2] because Einstein gravity has no propagating degrees of freedom (DOF) in three dimensions. Since the gCS term is odd under parity, the theory shows a single DOF of a given helicity, whereas the other helicity mode remains massless. Lee, Song, and Strominger [3] have shown that the third-order Einstein equation (the first-order equation for the linearized Einstein tensor $\delta G_{\mu\nu}$) of cosmological TMG is changed into the same first-order equation for a massive graviton $h_{\mu\nu}$ when one chooses the transverse-traceless gauge. This shows clearly that the cosmological TMG is regarded as the first-order gravity theory. Later on, Bergshoeff, Hohm, and Townsend have proposed new massive gravity (NMG) by adding a quadratic curvature term to the Einstein-Hilbert action [4]. Although this term was designed to reproduce the Fierz-Pauli action for a massive graviton, there is no way to avoid ghost states because of the fourth-order theory in the metric formalism [5]. Since the NMG preserves parity unlike the TMG, a massive graviton acquires the same mass for both helicity states, showing two DOF. However, we did not know what are polarization modes of gravitational waves (GWs) in TMG and NMG. Since two theories belong to higher-order gravity in three dimensions, we need to introduce the Newman-Penrose (NP) formalism [6] where the null real tetrad is necessary to specify a few polarization modes of GWs. It turned out that one mode is Φ_{12} for the TMG, whereas two modes are Φ_{22} and Φ_{12} for the NMG [7]. This shows a perfect matching between the Ricci tensor [4] and NP formalisms because the massive graviton exists and the Weyl tensor vanishes in three dimensions.

On the other hand, there is no consensus on the number of graviton DOF in non-dynamical Chern-Simons (nCS) [8, 9, 10] and dynamical Chern-Simons (dCS) modified gravity [11, 12, 13, 14] in four dimensions, because it depends on the (non)dynamical CS as well as the background spacetimes. The number of graviton DOF propagating around a Minkowski background was two ($h_{ij}^{\rm TT}$) as general relativity for the canonical CS coupling of $v_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta = (1/\mu, \mathbf{0})$ [8]. Choosing a class of exact solutions describing plane-fronted gravitational waves (*pp*-waves) along $+z$ axis, the graviton is also described by two DOF ($\Psi_4 = -R_{n\tilde{m}n\tilde{m}} = \ddot{h}_+ - i\ddot{h}_\times$) for the nCS gravity [9], while it has three (Ψ_4 and $\Phi_{22} = -R_{nmn\tilde{m}} = \frac{1}{2}\ddot{h}_{m\tilde{m}}$) for the dCS gravity [15]. Here $h_{+,\times}$ are the plus/crossing polarizations of the waveform and the overhead dot ($\dot{}$) denotes the differentiation with respect to

the retarded null coordinate $u = t - z$. However, in the weak amplitude regime of $\theta^2 \simeq 0$, the effect of Φ_{22} is negligible, which establishes two GWs Ψ_4 excited as in general relativity. Recently, it was shown that six and ten initial conditions are needed to specify the time evolution of physical perturbations for the nCS and dCS theories in the Schwarzschild black hole spacetime [16]. Explicitly, noting that the Einstein-Hilbert term provides four, the dynamical CS scalar θ has two, and the CS term brings four, we have ten in the dCS gravity. However, one has six for the nCS gravity because the Pontryagin constraint ${}^*RR = 0$ kills two in eight. Actually, this corresponds to three and five DOF for the nCS and dCS gravity, respectively.

Evidently, a quadratic gravity requires null complex tetrad to specify six independent polarization modes of $\{\Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4, \Phi_{22}\}$ [17], while the Einstein-Weyl gravity has five modes $\{\Psi_3, \Psi_4, \Phi_{22}\}$ [18]. Here Ψ_3 and Ψ_4 are complex, providing four DOF. Analyzing the rotational behavior of the former set shows the respective helicity values $s = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, 0\}$. However, Ψ_4 always remains unspecified here because it must be determined by the Riemann tensor. This implies that the NP formalism is not appropriate for describing a massive graviton with 5 DOF. Hence we would like to introduce the Ricci tensor formalism without ghost problem, instead of the metric formalism with ghost problem.

Accordingly, it is urgent to clarify how many DOF are in nCS modified gravity when the nCS modified gravity is considered as a massive gravity. In order to find it, we first propose the similarity and difference between 3D and 4D massive gravities based on the Ricci tensor formalism:

dimensions	parity-odd massive gravity	parity-even massive gravity
3D	TMG (1DOF)	NMG (2DOF)
4D	nCS modified gravity (2 or 3 DOF)	Einstein-Weyl gravity (5DOF)

At this stage, we do not specify clearly the number of DOF in nCS gravity because the number of DOF in Einstein-Weyl is five (odd). After the detailed analysis, however, we will confirm it to be two.

We emphasize that the nCS modified gravity was considered as a 4D extension of the TMG with a nondynamical function θ, whereas the dCS modified gravity has been formulated by treating the CS scalar θ as a dynamical field. Hence, in this work, we investigate polarization modes of gravitational waves in nCS modified gravity by using the NP for-

malism where the null complex tetrad is necessary to specify gravitational waves. The linearized Einstein equation corresponds to the first-order equation for the linearized Ricci tensor which will be used to kill the independent polarization modes propagating on the Minkowski spacetime. It turns out that the number of polarization modes is one for the nCS case in addition to an unspecified Ψ_4. If one uses the Ricci tensor formalism in the nCS modified gravity, there is no ghost problem because it lowers the third-order equation to the first-order one. Using the linearized TMG and NMG [3, 4], we develop the linearized Einstein equation which is a second-order wave equation. This might describe a tensor wave propagating along $+z$ axis. In this case, we obtain two DOF for a massive graviton propagating on the Minkowskian background.

2 The Newman-Penrose formalism

Let us first consider GWs propagating in the $+z$ direction for simplicity. In this case, all waves are functions of t and z. At any point P, the null complex tetrad vectors $\{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}\}$ are related to the Cartesian tetrad vectors $\{\mathbf{e}_t, \mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_z\}$ in four dimensions with metric signature $(-,+,+,+)$ as

$$\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{e}_t \pm \mathbf{e}_z), \quad \mathbf{m}/\tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{e}_x \pm i\mathbf{e}_y), \tag{2.1}$$

where they satisfy the relations

$$-\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{m}} = 1, \quad \mathbf{k}\cdot\{\mathbf{m}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}\} = \mathbf{n}\cdot\{\mathbf{m}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}\} = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{n}^2 = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We note that a tensor $\mathbf{T}$ can be written as

$$T_{abc\ldots} = T_{\mu\nu\rho\ldots} a^\mu b^\nu c^{\rho\ldots}, \tag{2.3}$$

where Latin indices $(a, b, c, ...)$ run over $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}})$, while Greek indices $(\mu, \nu, \rho, ...)$ run over (t, x, y, z) because we are working with Cartesian coordinates to specify the Minkowskian background. It is well-known that the Weyl tensor has ten components in four dimensions. Therefore, the Riemann tensor with twenty components can be decomposed into the Ricci tensor with ten and Weyl tensor with ten as

$$R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} = \Big(g_{\rho[\mu}R_{\nu]\sigma} - g_{\sigma[\mu}R_{\nu]\rho}\Big) - \frac{1}{3}Rg_{\rho[\mu}g_{\nu]\sigma} + C_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then, the NP quantities of five complex Weyl scalars Ψ's $[C_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}]$, nine Φ's$[R_{\mu\nu}]$ and $\Lambda[R]$ represent irreducible parts of the Riemann tensor $R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}$.

Choosing nearly plane waves propagating $+z$ direction reduces $R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}$ to six nonvanishing components representing by a set of $\{\Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4, \Phi_{22}\}$ in the generic metric theory [17]. The first and last ones are real scalars, while the second and third are complex scalars. Fig. 1 shows the six polarization modes of weak, plane, null GW permitted in any metric theory of gravity. Using the tetrad basis, the NP quantities are represented by the Riemann tensor and Ricci tensor as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_2 &= -\frac{1}{6}R_{nknk} = -\frac{1}{6}R_{nk}, \\
\Psi_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}R_{nkn\tilde{m}} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{n\tilde{m}}, \\
\Psi_4 &= -R_{n\tilde{m}n\tilde{m}}, \\
\Phi_{22} &= -R_{nmn\tilde{m}} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{nn}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We note that Ψ_4 could not be represented by the Ricci tensor and thus, it remains unconstrained in any metric theory of gravity. Another relation is

$$R_{nknm} = R_{nm}, \tag{2.6}$$

while a relation for the Ricci scalar is given by

$$R = -2R_{nk} = -2R_{nknk} = 12\Psi_2, \tag{2.7}$$

which implies that the non-propagation of the Ricci scalar ($R = 0$) indicates $\Psi_2 = 0$.

The $E(2)$ classification of polarization waves under Lorentz transformation [17] is useful to find gravitational wave polarizations found in nCS modified gravity. It is given by

- Class II_6: $\Psi_2 \neq 0$;
- Class III_5: $\Psi_2 = 0,\ \Psi_3 \neq 0$;
- Class N_3: $\Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = 0,\quad \Psi_4 \neq 0,\quad \Phi_{22} \neq 0$;
- Class N_2: $\Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = \Phi_{22} = 0,\quad \Psi_4 \neq 0$;
- Class O_1: $\Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = \Psi_4 = 0,\quad \Phi_{22} \neq 0$;
- Class O_0: $\Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = \Psi_4 = \Phi_{22} = 0$.

The Einstein gravity of R (equivalently, its equation $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $R = 0$) is of class N_2,

the Einstein-Weyl gravity of $R+\gamma(R_{\mu\nu}^2-R^2/3)$ is classified by III_5 because of the non-propagation of Ricci scalar ($R=0$), and a quadratic gravity of $R+\alpha R^2+\gamma R_{\mu\nu}^2$ is categorized by class II_6. The Brans-Dicke theory belongs to class N_3 because the Brans-Dicke scalar provides Φ_{22} [19]. We note that Φ_{22} and Ψ_2 correspond to a perpendicular scalar mode (breathing mode) and to a longitudinal scalar mode. They are arising from either the massive Brans-Dicke theory or the metric $f(R)$-gravity, in addition to an unspecified Ψ_4. These theories are of class II_6 even though they have four polarization modes with $\Psi_3=0$.

The *pp*-wave approach in nCS modified gravity leads to class N_2 [15]. If a theory is of class II_6 or III_5, the corresponding amplitudes cannot be identified with massless particle fields like Ψ_4 and Φ_{22}. Furthermore, the nCS modified gravity is a constraint theory regardless of any choice θ. All solutions must satisfy the Pontryagin constraint which translates into a reality condition of the quadratic invariant for the Weyl spinor $\mathcal{I}$ on the $E(2)$ classification [9]

$$\Im(\mathcal{I})=3\Im[\Psi_2^2]=0\to\Psi_2=0. \tag{2.8}$$

It implies that any spacetime of Petrov types III, N, and O automatically satisfies the Pontryagin constraint, while spacetimes of Petrov type II could violate it. We expect that if the nCS gravity is a massive gravity theory, it belongs to III_5 but the number of DOF is between five and two. Hence, we have to determine what is the $E(2)$ class of nCS modified gravity in the Minkowskian background really.

3 nCS modified gravity

Let us first consider the nCS modified gravity in four dimensions whose action is given by

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[R+\frac{\theta}{4}{}^*RR\right], \tag{3.1}$$

where $\kappa^2=8\pi G$, θ is a nondynamical field, and ${}^*RR={}^*R^{\eta}{}_{\xi}{}^{\mu\nu}R^{\xi}{}_{\eta\mu\nu}$ is the Pontryagin density with

$${}^*R^{\eta}{}_{\xi}{}^{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\eta}{}_{\xi\rho\sigma}. \tag{3.2}$$

Varying for $g_{\mu\nu}$ on the action (3.1), we find the Einstein equation

$$R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R+C_{\mu\nu}=0. \tag{3.3}$$



Figure 1: Six polarization modes of weak, plane GW permitted in four-dimensional gravity, which indicate $(a):\ \mathrm{Re}[\Psi_4],\ (b):\ \mathrm{Im}[\Psi_4],\ (c):\ \Phi_{22},\ (d):\ \Psi_2,\ (e):\ \mathrm{Re}[\Psi_3],\ (f):\ \mathrm{Im}[\Psi_3]$. All modes $(a)\sim(f)$ are propagating in the $+z$ direction. The solid displacement shows that each mode induces on a sphere of test particles, while the dashed displacement indicates that each mode induces on a sphere of test particles after half-period.

Here, the four-dimensional Cotton tensor $C_{\mu\nu}$ is written by

$$C_{\mu\nu}=\nabla_\gamma\ \theta\ \epsilon^{\gamma\rho\sigma}{}_{(\mu}\nabla_{|\sigma|}R_{\nu)\rho}+\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\gamma\nabla_\rho\ \theta\ \epsilon_{(\nu}{}^{\gamma\sigma\delta}R^{\rho}{}_{\mu)\sigma\delta}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the Cotton tensor is a traceless and symmetric tensor. We stress that even though many works have focussed on the latter term for technical reasons, the former term shows really a 4D extension of the TMG in three dimensions. Hence, we must keep the former term as far as the nCS gravity is considered as a massive gravity in four dimensions.

It is important to note that applying ∇^μ to (3.3) leads to a term of $\nabla^\mu C_{\mu\nu}=0$ which can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{\nabla_\nu\theta}{8}{}^*RR\ =\ 0, \tag{3.5}$$

being the Pontryagin constraint of ${}^*RR=0$ for non-constant θ[1]. Note that this is an out-

[1]For a constant θ, Eq. (3.5) is automatically satisfied. However, in this case, the Einstein equation (3.3) reduces to that of general relativity with $C_{\mu\nu}=0$.

come of the Bianchi identity. In this work, we shall consider the Minkowskian background metric and scalar ansatz:

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{\mu} x, \tag{3.7}$$

where μ is a constant related to mass parameter. The scalar ansatz is necessary to obtain a massive graviton propagating on the Minkowskian background in the Ricci tensor formalism.

We would like to mention a few things about the particular choice (3.7) of $\bar{\theta}$. The first is that for this choice, $1/\mu$ plays a role of *constant* coupling, which will be shown in Eq. (3.8). The second is that it is needed to describe the plane GWs propagating in the $+z$ direction, implying that all quantities depend on the null retarded time of $(t-z)$ only. The other choice of $\bar{\theta} = y/\mu$ is also possible, but it does not make a significant difference when one compares with (3.7). The canonical coupling of $\bar{\theta} = t/\mu$, even though it is useful for describing a massless graviton in the metric formalism [8], is not suitable for developing all GW propagations in the Ricci tensor formalism. This is because there are no time derivatives in the linearized Cotton tensor for the canonical choice.

Now we introduce the metric perturbation only as $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, because θ is a nondynamical parameter. Then, the linearized equation (3.3) can be cast into the form

$$R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu} R^{\rm L} + \frac{1}{\mu} \bar{\epsilon}^{\ x\rho\sigma}_{(\mu} \partial_{|\sigma|} R^{\rm L}_{\nu)\rho} = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

where $R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}$ and $R^{\rm L}$ are the linearized Ricci tensor and scalar which consist of the components of the first order metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$. The Levi-Civita symbol $\bar{\epsilon}^{\ \mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is defined by $\bar{\epsilon}^{txyz} = 1$. Hereafter, we forget the presence of $h_{\mu\nu}$ in favor of $R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}$. On the other hand, taking the trace of Eq.(3.8) leads to

$$R^{\rm L} = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

which implies the non-propagation of Ricci scalar. Imposing $R^L = 0$, the linearized equation (3.8) leads to the first-order equation for the Ricci tensor

$$R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \bar{\epsilon}^{\ x\rho\sigma}_{(\mu} \partial_{|\sigma|} R^{\rm L}_{\nu)\rho} \equiv R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} + C^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

which is our main equation to study the linearized nCS modified gravity around the Minkowskian background. As far as we know, this is a newly derived equation which is similar to the linearized Einstein tensor equation in cosmological TMG [3]. It is easy to check that the linearized Bianchi identity is satisfied as

$$\partial^{\mu} R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

because of $\partial^{\mu} C^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Also, its traceless equation is trivial because of $R^{\rm L} = C^{\rm L} = 0$.

4 Polarization modes of GWs

As was introduced in Sec.2, the NP formalism determines the number of polarization states of GWs in four dimensional gravity models. Now we wish to find the number of GWs modes in nCS modified gravity by using the NP formalism. To this end, we first recall the null complex tetrad $\{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{m}}\}$ (2.1) and the GWs set $\{\Psi_2, \Psi_3, \Psi_4, \Phi_{22}\}$ (2.5). We point out that the vanishing of the linearized Ricci scalar (3.9) implies $\Psi_2 = 0$ which can be obtained by the relation (2.7). It is worth noting that the condition of $\Psi_2 = 0$ also satisfies the Pontryagin constraint ${}^*RR = 0$, which translates into a reality condition on a quadratic invariant of the Weyl spinor $\mathcal{I}$ [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \Im(\mathcal{I}) &= \Im(\Psi_0\Psi_4 + 3\Psi_2^2 - 3\Psi_1\Psi_3) \\ &= \Im(3\Psi_2^2) \;=\; 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here, we used $\Psi_0 = \Psi_1 = 0$ because the two Newman-Penrose scalars Ψ_0 and Ψ_1 vanish for plane waves propagating along $+z$ axis [17]. On the other hand, it is noted that the GW mode Ψ_4 remains unconstrained in the nCS modified gravity because it depends on the Riemann tensor $R_{n\tilde{m}n\tilde{m}}$.

We are now in a position to check whether the remaining modes Ψ_3 and Φ_{22} (more explicitly, $R^{\rm L}_{nm}$, $R^{\rm L}_{n\tilde{m}}$, $R^{\rm L}_{nn}$) are truly independent components. These are modes of helicity ± 1 and 0. To this end, we have to realize that the components of the linearized Ricci tensor in Eq. (3.10) are coupled to other components due to the presence of the Levi-Civita tensor. It turns out that (t,t), (t,z), and (z,z) components of Eq. (3.10) yield one relation

$$R^{\rm L}_{tt} + 2R^{\rm L}_{tz} + R^{\rm L}_{zz} = -(\partial_t + \partial_z)\Big\{\frac{1}{\mu}(R^{\rm L}_{yt} + R^{\rm L}_{yz})\Big\}, \tag{4.2}$$

which implies that the l.h.s of Eq. (4.2) vanishes, because acting an operation $(\partial_t+\partial_z \propto \partial_v)$ on the linearized Ricci tensor with functions of $(t-z \propto u)$ leads to zero. This shows clearly a non-propagation of Φ_{22} ($\Phi_{22}=0, R^{\rm L}_{nn}=0$) by observing the relations

$$\Phi_{22}=-\frac{1}{2}R^{\rm L}_{nn}, \quad R^{\rm L}_{nn}=R^{\rm L}_{tt}+2R^{\rm L}_{tz}+R^{\rm L}_{zz}. \tag{4.3}$$

Additionally, after some manipulations, all remaining components of Eq. (3.10) together with the linearized Bianchi identity (3.11) provide the other relation

$$\Big\{1+\frac{1}{4\mu^2}(\partial_t^2-\partial_z^2)\Big\}\partial_t(R^{\rm L}_{xt}+R^{\rm L}_{xz})=0, \tag{4.4}$$

which includes a case of the non-propagation of $R^{\rm L}_{xt}+R^{\rm L}_{xz}$ (that is, $R^{\rm L}_{xt}+R^{\rm L}_{xz}=0$) at the solution level. At this stage, focusing on $\Psi_3={\rm Re}\Psi_3+i{\rm Im}\Psi_3$ expressed in terms of linearized Ricci tensor components

$$\begin{aligned}
{\rm Re}[\Psi_3]&=R^{\rm L}_{nm}+R^{\rm L}_{n\tilde{m}}=-(R^{\rm L}_{xt}+R^{\rm L}_{xz}), &(4.5)\\
{\rm Im}[\Psi_3]&=-i(R^{\rm L}_{nm}-R^{\rm L}_{n\tilde{m}})=R^{\rm L}_{yt}+R^{\rm L}_{yz}, &(4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

the above two relations (4.2) and (4.4) indicate clearly that we have only one independent component ${\rm Im}[\Psi_3]$. This is reminiscent of the fact that the TMG shows one DOF of a given helicity since the gCS term is odd under parity, while the NMG has two DOF because it belongs to a parity-even theory.

It seems that in the nCS modified gravity, there exist three independent modes of GWs:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\Psi_2=0, \quad \Phi_{22}=0, \quad {\rm Re}[\Psi_3]=0\Big)\\
&{\rm Re}[\Psi_4]\neq 0, \quad {\rm Im}[\Psi_4]\neq 0, \quad {\rm Im}[\Psi_3]\neq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

which correspond to the polarization modes (a), (b), and (f) in Fig. 1, respectively. Thus, the nCS modified gravity might belong to class ${\rm III}_5$ with three DOF because $\Psi_2=0$ and $\Psi_3\neq 0$. On the other hand, the other choice of $\bar{\theta}=y/\mu$ might lead to the other class ${\rm III}_5$ with 3 DOF since $\Psi_2=0$ and $\Psi_3\neq 0$ $({\rm Re}[\Psi_3]\neq 0, {\rm Im}[\Psi_3]=0)$ which indicates polarization modes (a), (b), and (e) in Fig. 1.

However, we have to point out a weak point of the NP formalism to represent a *massive* graviton propagating on the Minkowskian background in the nCS modified gravity. In the NP formalism, there is no constraint on Ψ_4 in any metric theory of gravity because it is

determined by Riemann tensor $\Psi_4 = -R_{n\tilde{m}n\tilde{m}}$ even for $R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ of Einstein gravity. This implies that in the Einstein-Weyl gravity, as was shown in the literature [18], two of five GWs are given by Ψ_4, which describe a *massless* spin-2 graviton. The other three are given by Ψ_3 and Φ_{22} because there is no first-order equation (3.10) in the Einstein-Weyl gravity. On the other hand, in its Ricci tensor formalism (see Sec.5 for details), the linearized Ricci tensor equation (5.5) shows that there exist five $(10 - 1 - 4 = 5)$ DOF, which consist of a *massive* graviton only, when one takes into account both the traceless condition $R^{\rm L} = 0$ and the transverse condition of $\partial^\mu R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

Consequently, there is no one-to-one correspondence in the modes between NP and Ricci tensor formalisms to represent a massive spin-2 graviton.

Before closing this section, we wish to point out the correspondence between the NP modes and metric tensor in the Fierz-Pauli massive gravity [20]. Even they identified Ψ_4 and Ψ_3 with $\tilde{h}^{\perp}_{ij}(r = 4, 5)$ and $\tilde{h}^{\parallel}_{ij}(r = 2, 3)$, they failed to identify Ψ_2 and Φ_{22} with other spatial components of $\tilde{h}_{ij}$, which implies that in this case also, the correspondence is incomplete.

5 Massive graviton equation

In this section, we explore an explicit propagation of GWs at the linearized level by employing the Ricci tensor formalism. Thereby, we count the number of independent modes of GWs in nCS modified gravity.

Before going further, we comment briefly on NMG and TMG. Let us first introduce two operators $(\mathcal{D}_\pm)^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu \pm \frac{1}{m}\epsilon_\nu{}^{\alpha\mu}\partial_\alpha$ in three dimensions. Using the operator $\mathcal{D}_+$, the linearized Einstein equation of the TMG takes the form $(\mathcal{D}_+\delta R)_{\mu\nu} = 0$ with $\delta R = 0$. Its second-order equation is given by

$$(\mathcal{D}_-\mathcal{D}_+\delta R)_{\mu\nu} = 0 \to (\Box - m^2)\delta R_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

which is the linearized equation obtained from the NMG with $\delta R = 0$ and $\partial^\mu \delta R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ [4]. This indicates that the second-order equation (5.1) with a single mass squared m^2 could be obtained from the first-order equation naturally for the TMG. In this sense, the parity-odd TMG with one DOF is a "square-root" of the parity-even NMG with two DOF.

Now we are in a position to develop a second-order wave equation in four dimensions, which can describe a massive graviton propagation explicitly. For this purpose, we first

express the first-order equation (3.10) arisen from the nCS modified gravity by introducing D operators:

$$D^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\mu\nu}(\mu) \equiv \delta^{\lambda}_{(\mu}\delta^{\lambda'}_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{\mu}\delta^{\lambda}_{(\mu}\bar{\epsilon}^{\,x\lambda'\sigma}{}_{\nu)}\partial_{\sigma}, \tag{5.2}$$

where (A, B) denotes the symmetrization of $(A, B) = (AB + BA)/2$. Using the $D(\mu)$ operator, Eq. (3.10) can be written compactly as

$$D^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\mu\nu}(\mu)R^{\rm L}_{\lambda\lambda'} \equiv \Big[D(\mu)R^{\rm L}\Big]_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Now acting $\Big[D(-\mu)D(\mu)\Big]^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\mu\nu}$ on $R^{\rm L}_{\lambda\lambda'}$, we obtain a complicated second-order equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big[D(-\mu)D(\mu)R^{\rm L}\Big]_{\mu\nu}\\ &= R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu^2}\Big[\Box R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\Box R^{\rm L}_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}R^{\rm L}_{xx} - \frac{3}{2}\delta^{x}_{(\mu}\Box R^{\rm L}_{\nu)x}\Big] = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

This is not obviously a tensor wave equation that describes a massive graviton propagating in the Minkowskian background

$$\Box R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} - \mu^2 R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

which was obtained from the Einstein-Weyl gravity of $R + \gamma(R^2_{\mu\nu} - R^2/3)$ with $\mu^2 = 1/\gamma$, $R^{\rm L} = 0$, and $\partial^{\mu}R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ [18]. Eq. (5.5) is a standard wave equation which describes five DOF of a massive graviton propagating on the Minkowskian background in the Ricci tensor formalism. However, it is important to note that the (x, x) component of Eq. (5.4) leads simply to $R^{\rm L}_{xx} = 0$, which kills one DOF. Therefore, we have four DOF $(5 - 1 = 4)$ totally. In this case, the tensor wave equation (5.4) can be classified into two types:

$$\Big[D(-\mu)D(\mu)R^{\rm L}\Big]_{\mu\nu} = \begin{cases} \Box R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} - \mu^2 R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0, & (R^{\rm L}_{tt}, R^{\rm L}_{ty}, R^{\rm L}_{tz}, R^{\rm L}_{yy}, R^{\rm L}_{yz}, R^{\rm L}_{zz}) \quad \text{[mode 1]} \\ \Box R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} - 4\mu^2 R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu} = 0, & (R^{\rm L}_{tx}, R^{\rm L}_{xy}, R^{\rm L}_{xz}) \qquad\quad \text{[mode 2]}. \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

We would like to mention a few things observed from Eqs. (3.10) and (5.6). First is that the components of linearized Ricci tensor in mode 1 do not couple to those in mode 2. Second, we have two independent components of the Ricci tensor only: one coming from mode 1, while the other from mode 2.

Finally, by analogy of the TMG and NMG [4], we propose that two second-order equations (5.6) are related to the four first-order equations (3.10) as follows:

$$\Big[D(-m_1)D(m_2)R^{\rm L}\Big]_{\mu\nu}=\begin{cases} m_1=m_2=\mu & \Rightarrow\ \Box R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}-\mu^2R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}=0 \\ m_1=-\mu,\ m_2\to\infty & \Rightarrow\ R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}^{\ x\rho\sigma}{}_{(\mu}\partial_{|\sigma|}R^{\rm L}_{\nu)\rho}=0 \\ m_2=\mu,\ m_1\to\infty & \Rightarrow\ R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}^{\ x\rho\sigma}{}_{(\mu}\partial_{|\sigma|}R^{\rm L}_{\nu)\rho}=0, \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\Big[D(-m_3)D(m_4)R^{\rm L}\Big]_{\mu\nu}=\begin{cases} m_3=m_4=2\mu & \Rightarrow\ \Box R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}-4\mu^2R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}=0 \\ m_3=-\mu,\ m_4\to\infty & \Rightarrow\ R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}^{\ x\rho\sigma}{}_{(\mu}\partial_{|\sigma|}R^{\rm L}_{\nu)\rho}=0 \\ m_4=\mu,\ m_3\to\infty & \Rightarrow\ R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}^{\ x\rho\sigma}{}_{(\mu}\partial_{|\sigma|}R^{\rm L}_{\nu)\rho}=0. \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

We conclude from Eqs. (5.7) and (5.8) that in the nCS modified gravity, two independent modes are given by two combinations

$$(m_1=-\mu, m_4=\mu)\quad\text{or}\quad(m_2=\mu, m_3=-\mu). \tag{5.9}$$

6 Discussions

In this work we have considered the nCS modified gravity as a model of massive gravity in four dimensions like as the TMG [2] in three dimensions. This means that the nCS gravity is not a modified gravity which mimics Einstein gravity of describing a *massless* graviton Ψ_4. Here we have found one polarization mode of $\mathrm{Im}[\Psi_3]$ by using the linearized equation and the Bianchi identity. The presence of Ψ_3 implies that the nCS gravity belongs to a massive gravity because if a theory is of class III_5, the corresponding amplitudes could not be identified with massless particle fields like Ψ_4 and Φ_{22}.

However, we have to point out a handicap of the NP formalism to represent a *massive* graviton propagating on the Minkowskian background in the nCS modified gravity. In the NP formalism, there is no constraint on Ψ_4 in any metric theory of gravity because it must be determined by Riemann tensor $\Psi_4=-R_{n\tilde{m}n\tilde{m}}$. In the case of the Einstein-Weyl gravity, we have three modes of Ψ_3 and Φ_{22}, in addition to an unspecified Ψ_4 [18]. Surely, this implies that the unspecified Ψ_4 becomes a loophole if the NP formalism is employed to describe a massive graviton with 5 DOF completely.

As were previously shown in three-dimensional massive gravity models [3, 4], the third- and fourth-order gravity theories become a first- and second-order gravity theories when

one introduces either the linearized Ricci tensor or Einstein tensor (cosmological TMG and NMG) instead of metric tensor. A usage of Ricci and Einstein tensors is a known way to avoid ghost problem in higher-derivative gravity theories. Similarly, we have introduced the Ricci tensor formalism because we have regarded the nCS gravity as a massive gravity. As a byproduct, we do not worry about the appearance of the linear ghost states. If the Ricci tensor was employed to describe the linearized Einstein equation, the latter became the first-order equation (3.10) which could be used to kill non-propagating modes in the nCS gravity. In order to find the number of independent Ricci tensor modes, we have to find the second-order wave equation (5.5) together with the transverse-traceless condition found in the Einstein-Weyl gravity. Unfortunately, we could not find a nice tensor-wave equation (5.5) by applying $D(-\mu)D(\mu)$ on $R^{\rm L}_{\mu\nu}$ inspired by the TMG and NMG in three dimensions. However, we have found two independent modes, which is compared to five from the Einstein-Weyl gravity. In this sense, we may regard the nCS modified gravity (parity-odd) as a square-root of the Einstein-Weyl gravity (parity-even). This is a 4D version of "TMG as a square-root of NMG". However, it is left as an open issue why there exist two mass-squared of μ^2 and $4\mu^2$ in (5.6) when one makes the second-order equation from the first-order equations.

In summary, we have obtained the first-order linearized equation (3.10) which was employed to find $\mathrm{Im}\Psi_3$ in the NP formalism and two independent modes in the wave equation approach of Ricci tensor.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MEST) (No.2012-R1A1A2A10040499).

References

[1] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982).

[2] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Annals Phys. **140**, 372 (1982) [Erratum-ibid. **185**, 406 (1988)] [Annals Phys. **281**, 409 (2000)].

[3] W. Li, W. Song and A. Strominger, JHEP **0804**, 082 (2008) [arXiv:0801.4566 [hep-th]].

[4] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 201301 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1766 [hep-th]].

[5] E. Bergshoeff, M. Kovacevic, L. Parra and T. Zojer, PoS Corfu **2012**, 053 (2013).

[6] E. Newman and R. Penrose, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 566 (1962).

[7] T. Moon and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 027501 (2012) [arXiv:1111.2196 [gr-qc]].

[8] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 104012 (2003) [gr-qc/0308071].

[9] D. Grumiller and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 044015 (2008) [arXiv:0711.1868 [gr-qc]].

[10] T. Moon and Y. S. Myung, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1796 [arXiv:1108.2612 [hep-th]].

[11] N. Yunes and F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084043 (2009) [arXiv:0902.4669 [gr-qc]].

[12] S. Alexander and N. Yunes, Phys. Rept. **480**, 1 (2009) [arXiv:0907.2562 [hep-th]].

[13] V. Cardoso and L. Gualtieri, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064008 (2009) [Erratum-ibid. D **81**, 089903 (2010)] [arXiv:0907.5008 [gr-qc]].

[14] T. Moon and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 104029 (2011) [arXiv:1109.2719 [gr-qc]].

[15] C. F. Sopuerta and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064006 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4501 [gr-qc]].

[16] H. Motohashi and T. Suyama, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044054 (2012) [arXiv:1110.6241 [gr-qc]].

[17] D. M. Eardley, D. L. Lee, A. P. Lightman, Phys. Rev. **D8**, 3308-3321 (1973).

[18] M. E. S. Alves, O. D. Miranda and J. C. N. de Araujo, Phys. Lett. B **679**, 401 (2009) [arXiv:0908.0861 [gr-qc]].

[19] M. E. S. Alves, O. D. Miranda and J. C. N. de Araujo, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 145010 (2010) [arXiv:1004.5580 [gr-qc]].

[20] D. Bessada and O. D. Miranda, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 045005 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1119 [gr-qc]].